# HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook

September 1996

**Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)** 

### **HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT**

### **McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook**

## An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

### Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

### Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

September 1996

### **CONTENTS**

Section 1	Executive Summary	1-1
Section 2	Facility Description	2-1
Section 3	Compliance Status	3-1
Section 4	Remedial Actions	4-1
Section 5	Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries	5-1
Section 6	Annual Operating Expenditures	6-1

#### **List of Tables**

### Table

- Summary Program Information for McCall Hatchery Summer Chinook
- 2 Compliance with Performance Measures: McCall Hatchery Summer Chinook
- 3 Remedial Actions Required at McCall Hatchery Summer Chinook
- 4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: McCall Hatchery Summer Chinook
- 5 Annual Operating Expenses: McCall Hatchery Summer Chinook

6 Annual Operating Expenses - McCall Hatchery

### **Executive Summary**

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook program. The hatchery is located within the city limits of McCall, Idaho on the North Fork Payette River, approximately 0.25 miles downstream from Payette Lake. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of summer chinook.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

### Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) ÒStrategy for SalmonÓ and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit. IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

#### The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility managementÕs response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

#### McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Results

The McCall facility includes two ponds for rearing, 14 indoor rearing tanks, and incubation facilities. The South Fork Salmon Satellite includes two adult holding ponds and a covered spawning area. McCall Hatchery was constructed in 1979 by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers as part of the Lower Snake River Compensation Plan (LSRCP) - a program to mitigate for anadromous fishery losses caused by the construction of the four hydroelectric dams on the lower Snake River.

The McCall Hatchery was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal, and needed to reduce its pre-spawning mortality. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the screen approach criteria, turbidity criteria, water chemistry and contaminant monitoring requirements, alkalinity and hardness criteria, early rearing facilities, and pathology-free water for early rearing criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery did not have a smoltification goal or monitoring program, annual training schedule. In the compliance area for fish health policy, the hatchery did not have foot baths in the incubation facilities. The hatchery did not have an approved Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program in place.

The specific areas in which the McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Consider pre-filtration of incubation water to reduce sediment problem
- Develop annual training schedule for staff
- Develop pathogen-free water supply for early rearing
- Develop smoltification goal and monitoring program
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan
- Document adult contribution
- Increase alkalinity and hardness
- Install additional early rearing vats on southside of hatchery building

- install foot baths in incubation facilities
- Modify intake to meet current approach criteria
- Monitor DO during hauling
- Obtain approval of genetics monitoring and evaluation plan
- Perform IHOT QA/QC feed tests
- Reduce pre-spawning mortality
- Review IHOT transport water temperature criteria
- Review IHOT broodstock collection and spawning protocols as they relate to IDFG policy and practices.
- Run analysis for contaminants
- Run analysis for missing water chemistry parameters
- Run analysis for turbidity
- Use second set of screens

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

### **Facility Description**

Name: McCall Hatchery
Stock/Species: Summer Chinook

**Operating Agency:** Idaho Department of Fish and Game

Funding Agency: Lower Snake River Compensation Program (LSRCP)

**Location:** Located within the city limits of McCall, Idaho on the North Fork

Payette River, approximately 0.25 miles downstream from Payette

Lake.

Address: McCall Hatchery

Idaho Department of Fish and Game

P.O. Box 1021

McCall, ID 83638

**Hatchery Manager:** Mr. Gene McPherson

**Phone:** (208) 634-2690

**Fax:** (208) 634-3492

**Purpose:** McCall Hatchery was constructed in 1979 by the U.S. Army Corps of

Engineers as part of the Lower Snake River Compensation Plan

(LSRCP) - a program to mitigate for anadromous fishery losses caused

by the construction of the four hydroelectric dams on the lower Snake

River. The LSRCP mitigation goal is to return 8,000 summer chinook

above Lower Granite Dam.

**Production Goal:** Summer Chinook

Produce 1.0 million smolts (50,000 lb) for release in the South Fork

Salmon River.

Provide surplus summer chinook eggs/ or fish to other hatcheries in the

state.

Water Supply: Water is supplied to the hatchery from Payette Lake through two inlets,

one at the lake surface and the other at a depth of 50 feet. This permits

some control over water temperature throughout the year. Water flow

to the hatchery is 8,977 gpm. The satellite facilities use 8,977 gpm of

gravity flow water from the South Fork of the Salmon River.

### **Facilities:**

Adult Holding: none at hatchery

Incubation: 23 stacks of 8 tray vertical tray incubators

Early Rearing: 14 indoor rearing tanks - 320 cf each

Raceways: none

Rearing Ponds: 2 rearing ponds - 23,814 cf each

Satellite Facilities: South Fork Salmon Satellite

2 adult holding ponds and spawning area

### **Compliance Status**

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin*Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (referred to as IHOT 1995 in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1 Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure

Information (PMs General 1-2)

Section 2 Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

### **The Hatchery Audit Process**

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the McCall Hatchery was conducted on September 16, 1996.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.

- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

### Compliance Status of McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook

Component		Location	n of Adult Holding, Sp.	awning, Incubation, ar	nd Rearing	
	South Fork	McCall Hatchery				
	Salmon Satellite					
Adult Collection	4					
Adult Holding	4					
Spawning	4					
Fertilization	4					
Incubation						
green-to-eyed		4				
eyed-to-hatch		4				
Rearing						
fry		4				
fingerlings		4				
smolts		4				
Acclimation/release	4 <sup>(a)</sup>					

(a) All direct stream release

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					_	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#1	Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin						
	management plan?		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
						Production Plan, Lower Snake River	
						Management Plan, and NMFS Section 7	
						(ESA)	
#2	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery						
	operational plan?		4			IHOT Operations Plan and Facility O&M	
						plan submitted with August 26, 1996	
	Is it understood by staff?		4			update.	
	Is it being followed?						
	is it being followed.		4				
#3	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
			4			Hatchery Monitoring and Evaluation Plan	
	Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation						
	plan?		4				

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4a	Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and						
	hatchery			4		Data provided for South Fork Salmon	Document adult contribution
						River. There is no chinook fishery in	
						Idaho. Hatchery gets no information	
						from ocean fishery or lower river	
						fisheries.	
#4b	Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with						
	established goal				4	In compliance 3 out of last 5 years.	Reduce pre-spawning mortality
						Chemical treatment now done	
#4c	Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal						
					4	In compliance 2 out of last 5 years	Improve adult returns
#4d	Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with						
	established goal		4			In compliance 5 out of last 5 years	
#4e	Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established						
	goal		4			In compliance 5 out of last 5 years	
#4f	Fry to smolt survival as compared with established						
	goal		4			In compliance 5 out of last 5 years	
#4g	Production as compared with established goal						
					4	In compliance 2 out of last 5 years	Improve adult returns
#4h	Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with						
	established goal				4	In compliance 0 out of last 5 years	Improve adult returns

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4i	Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults						
	to meet basinwide needs	4				Data provided.	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	<b>Compliance Status</b>		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5a	Temperature  Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	spawning?						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for				4	Temperatures are within criteria for the	Low temperatures mimics natural cycles.
	incubation?					first half of the cycle but too low later	Review IHOT temperature criteria
						portion of cycle.	
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		4				
	rearing?						
#5b	Dissolved gases						
	Is the oxygen level near saturation?		4			Discussion.	
	Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?		4			Measured with saturometer	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5c	Chemistry						
	Ammonia (un-ionized)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Carbon Dioxide				4	No Data	Run analysis
	Chlorine				4	No Data	Run analysis
	pН		4		7	Review of records/Discussion	
	Copper					Review of records/Discussion	
	Hydrogen Sulfide		4			No Data	Run analysis
	Iron				4	Review of records/Discussion	
	Zinc		4			Review of records/Discussion	
			4				
#5d	Turbidity						
	Does your turbidity meet the criteria?				4	High turbidity occurs from wind on the	Run analysis
						lake. Based on visual observations; no	
						data.	
#5e	Alkalinity and hardness						
	Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?				4	Surface water supply is very soft. Has	Increase alkalinity and hardness
						not been a problem under current	
						operation.	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5f	Nitrite						
	Does your nitrite meet the criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#5g	Contaminants						
	Aldrin			4		No Data	Run analysis for contaminants
	Endrin			4		No Data	
	Dieldrin			4		No Data	
	Heptachlor					No Data	
	Chlordane			4		No Data	
	Methoxychlor			4		No Data	
	Lindane			4		No Data	
	Malathion			4		No Data	
	Guthion			4		No Data	
				4			

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5h	Pathogens						
#5N	What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?  Adult holding Incubation Early rearing Rearing		4		4	Discussion  Have UV sterilizers for incubation  Discussion  Discussion	
	Others	4			4	Discussion	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#6	Alarm Systems						
	Do the following areas have alarms?						
	Intake				4	Inspection of facilities/ Discussion	Install flow alarm at intake
	Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds		4				
	Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds						
	Incubation facilities		4				
	Quarantine areas and facilities	4	4				
	Water treatment systems	4					
	Security				4	They have big dogs	
							Install security alarms
	Are there outside systems and buzzers in on-site					Discussion	
	residences?						
			4				
	Are water flow alarms checked daily?						
			4				
	Are all other alarms checked weekly?						
			4				
	Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and						
	maintenance requirements?						
			A				
	Are telephone pagers used?		4				
	terebrone bullers and a						

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#7	Adult collection and holding facilities						
	Do you meet the adult holding criteria?		4			Discussion of off site adult facilities	
#8	Incubation facilities						
	Type 1: <u>Heath Trays (8 tray stacks)</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Silt in trays a maintenance problem.	Consider pre-filtration of incubation water to reduce sediment problem
	Type 2: <u>N/A</u>						
	Do you have an adequate number of units for the	4					
	overall program?						

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#9	Rearing facilities						
	Type 1: <u>Starting Vats</u>						
	Do you have an adequate number of units for the				4	Need more vats for isolation capability	Install additional rearing space with
	overall program?					during full production	enclosure on southside of hatchery
							building
	Type 2: Ponds						
	Do you have an adequate number of units for the		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	overall program?						
	Type 3: <u>N/A</u>						
	Do you have an adequate number of units for the	4					
	overall program?						

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#10	Screening facilities						
	Do you meet the approach velocity criteria:				4	41.3 sf of screen for 20 cfs gives a 0.48	Modify intake to meet current approach
	Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		4			fps approach velocity.	velocity criteria
	Does the screen mesh (opening) meet screen opening criteria?		4				
	Are rearing containers double screened for fish that should not be released to adjacent water?				4	Not double screened	Install second set of screens
#11	Predator control facilities						
	Are your predation control facilities effective?		4			No predation problems	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

			Γ						
_		N/A	Ye	S	s ?	s ? No	s ? No	s   ?   No	s   ?   No
F	Food storage facilities and quality control								
	Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods								
	(dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20%								
	moisture) follow food manufacturerÕs								
	recommendations?								
	Does a regional quality control officer oversee								
	production procedures and monitor:								
	Verification by feed manufacturer that								
	ingredients meet specifications?								
	Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or								
	other additives?								
	oner additives:								
	Analyze ingredients contained in the final food								
	product to ensure that feed specifications have								
	been met?								
	Are the foods stored and handled according to the								
	following criteria?								
	Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of								
	delivery.								

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
		4			Reviewed manufacturers	
					recommendations	
				4	No regular testing done. If bad feed is	Perform IHOT QA/QC feed tests
				4	suspected they send it back	Tenonii inor QA/QC lecu tests
					Discussion	Perform IHOT QA/QC feed tests
				4	Discussion	remonii inor QA/QC reed tests
				4	Discussion	Perform IHOT QA/QC feed tests
					Discussion	
		4				

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#13	Release facilities  Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not subjected to adverse conditions?		4			Discussed facility and operation.
#14	Pollution abatement facilities					
	Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal		4			Pond cleaning waste and flow all go
	and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?					through the same pond. All test OK.
	Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?					
			4			
#15	Transportation facilities					
	Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT performance measures for transportation practices?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#16	Broodstock selection practices						
	Is the donor selection process document attached?	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	is the donor serection process document unuaried.						
	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting						
	the hatchery broodstock?	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	Go to PM #40 in Genetics						
#17	Spawning practices						
	Were the appropriate number of spawners,						
	male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used?		4			Review of records/Discussion.	
						Spawning at S. Fork Salmon satellite	
	Go to PM #42 in Genetics Section					facility	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#18	Incubation practices						
	Are specific incubation standards listed in the		4			Not in IHOT Operations Plan but in	Develop specific incubation standards for
	hatchery operations plan?					hatchery plan	IHOT Operations Plan
	nationally operations plant.					, I	
	And in subjection was at any surjection 9					Review of plan	
	Are incubation practices written?		4			Review of plan	
						D : 6 1/D: :	
	Incubation Type 1: <u>Trays</u> (See PM #8)					Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						
			4				
	Incubation Type 2: N / A (See PM #8)	4	_			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#19	Rearing practices						
	Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery		4			Not in IHOT Operations Plan but in	Develop specific rearing standards for
	operations plan?					hatchery Operations Plan	IHOT Operations Plan
	Are rearing practices written?		4			Review of rearing standards	
	Rearing Unit Type 1: Vats (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 2: Ponds (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 3: N / A (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	4				Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	4				Review of records/Discussion	
#20	Smolt quality						
	Do you produce a high quality smolt?		4			Fish in good condition at release; good	
						fat, fins, silvery starting to shed scales	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#21	Fish health management practices	<u></u>					
	Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being						
	conducted? (PM #26)						
	Are the annual broodstock inspections being						
	conducted? (PM #27						
	Is there pathogen-free water and are the sanitation						
	procedures being followed? (PM #28)						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5h)						
	Water temperature						
	Dissolved gases						
	Chemistry						
	Turbidity						
	Alkalinity and hardness						
	Nitrite						
	Contaminants						
	Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)						
	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?						

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
		4			Review of records/Discussion	
		4			Review of records/Discussion	
		4				
				4		
				4	Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion	Review IHOT temperature criteria
		4	4		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis for missing parameters
			4		Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis for turbidity
		4			Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion	Increase alkalinity and hardness
			4		Review of records/Discussion	
						Run analysis for contaminants

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a	Does hatchery performance meet requirements						
	outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in						
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
#22a1	Percent smoltification						
	Do you measure percent smoltification?		4			Visual observation. No formal tests.	Develop smoltification goal and monitoring program
	Do you have a smoltification goal?				4	No goal found	
	Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			4			
#22a2	Rearing density (prior to release)  Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to release?		4			Discussion	
#22a3	Disease condition (at release)  Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to release?		4			Pathologist conducts pre-release assessment.	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a4	Number (at release)						
	Did you meet the release number goal?				4	Eggs not available	Improve adult returns
#22a5	Size at release						
	Did you meet the size goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion.	
#22a6	Dates of release						
	Did you meet the release date goal?		4			Release first week of new moon in release period. NMFS controls release date also.	
#22a7	Location of release						
	Did you release the fish at the specified location?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22b	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the						
	subbasin?						
	Are the fish reared in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
	Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
#22c	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#23	Transportation facilities					
	Do transportation equipment and personnel receive					
	disinfection before and after use?					
	Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of					
	200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or					
	formaldehyde gas generation method (relative					
	humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?					
	Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected					
	using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high					
	temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30					
	minutes?					
	Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using					
	600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of					
	50% stock solution/liter water)?					
	Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps,					
	nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and					
	other equipment using one of the following solutions?					
	200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes					
	600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30					

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
		4			Discussion
		4			Discussion
					Discussion
		4			Discussion
		4			Discussion

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#23	Transportation facilities					
(cont)						
	Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water					
	level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system					
	settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling					
	density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to					
	loading the fish in the transport unit?					
	Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45					
	minutes to 1 hour after loading?					
	When fish are active and systems are functioning					
	properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and					
	maintained at approximately 8 ppm?					
	Is water temperature in the transportation unit					
	maintained within the 42-48 °F range?					
	Do fish releasing procedures include the following					
	criteria?					
	Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into					
	the correct water body.					
	Tempering or the difference between the liberation					
	rempering of the difference between the notificial	•				

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
		4			Discussion	
		4			Discussion	
				4	Not measured	Monitor DO during hauling
					Matches receiving water	
				4	Discussion	
					Discussion	Review IHOT transport water temperature criteria
		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#24	Evaluation practices						
	Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution						
	studies to:						
	Determine the requirements for evaluating and		4			Discussion. See also PM #4a.	
	improving management programs?						
	Develop guidelines that define the geographical		,			Discussion	
	area and identify component stocks (hatchery		4				
	and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?						
	and/of wild/ that comprise the management time.						
	Develop guidelines that define if the proper						
						Discussion	
	stocks of fish are currently being used?		4			Discussion	
	Determine which management units contribute to					D'	
	a specific fishery and the time periods of those					Discussion	
	contributions?		4				
	Determine the relative contributions of the					Discussion	
	various management units to a specific fishery						
	over the different time periods?		4				
		l					

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#25	Training practices						
	Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Develop annual training schedule for staff
	Does each staff member have a personal training plan		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		•				
	approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually:						
	Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	<b>Compliance Status</b>	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			Non-Compliance	Compliance

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#26	Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being						
	conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as						
	described below?						
	Conduct visit at least monthly		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health						
	specialist		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Examine a representative sample of healthy and		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	moribund fish from each lot.						
	Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
			_				
	Report finding and results of necropsies on standard						
	form.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
			4				
	Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.						
			4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release		4				
	or transfer to another facility.					Review of records/Discussion	
						Review of records/Discussion	
			4				

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#27	Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly						
	monitoring visits being completed as described below?						
	Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of reportable viral pathogens.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .						
			4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified						
	fish health specialist.		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#28	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation						
	procedures?						
	Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially				4	Yes for incubation; no for early rearing	Develop pathogen-free water supply for
	for incubation and early rearing?						early rearing
	Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and						
	being followed as describe below?						
						Inspection of facilities/Discussion.	
	Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		4				
						Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the				4		Install foot baths in incubation facilities
	incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit?						
						Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock		4				
	handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use						
	elsewhere in the hatchery?						
						Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior						
	its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		4				
						Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish						
			4				
	other fish lots of at any other location:						
	Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#29	Are water quality parameters being followed?						
	Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5h)						
	Water temperature		4		4	Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion	Review IHOT temperature criteria
	Dissolved gases		4				
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis for missing parameters
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis for turbidity
	Alkalinity and hardness				4	Review of records/Discussion	Increase alkalinity and hardness
	Nitrite		4			Review of records/Discussion Review of	
	Contaminants		4	4		records/Discussion	Run analysis for contaminants
	Go to PM #21						

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#30	Are incubation and rearing standards being followed?					
	Are the incubation practices being following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)		4			Review of records/Discussion
	Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria?  (see PM #19)		4			Review of records/Discussion
	Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19					
#31	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Smolt and egg transfers between hatchery and satellite.

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					_	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#32	Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		4				
	management plan?					Columbia Basin System Planning	
						Production Plan, Lower Snake River	
	Go to subbasin plan PM # 1					Management Plan, and NMFS Section 7	
						(ESA)	
#33	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4				
	operational plan?					IHOT Operations Plan and Facility O&M	
						plan submitted with August 26, 1996	
	Go to operational plan PM # 2					update.	
#34	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?		4				
						Hatchery Monitoring and Evaluation Plan	
	Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM # 3						

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#35	Does the hatchery program meet requirements						
	established in the regional hatchery policies and						
	subbasin planning documents in the following areas:						
	species, stock, broodstock collection location,						
	broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,						
	and spawning and egg-take protocols?						
	Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?						
	Species protocols (PM #4a)						
	Stock protocols (PM #4a)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41)				4	Review of records/Discussion	Improve edult returns
	Spawning protocols (PM #42)				4	Review of fecolus/Discussion	Improve adult returns
	Egg-take protocols (PM #42)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#36	Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements						
	outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in						
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas:						
	percent smoltification, rearing density, disease						
	condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of						
	release?						
	Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)						
	, , , , ,				4	No goal found	Develop smoltification goal and
	Rearing density (PM #22a2)				·		monitoring program
			4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Disease condition (PM #22a3)		7			review of records Discussion	
	Disease condition (1 W #22a3)					Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (PM #22a4)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (FIVI #22a4)					Desirement and a large and a l	Improve adult survival
	G'				4	Review of records/Discussion	Improve addit survivar
	Size at release (PM #22a5)						
			4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Date of release (PM #22a6)						
			4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Location of release (PM #22a7)		'				
						Review of records/Discussion	
			4				

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the						
	subbasin?		4			Discussion	
	See PM #22b						
#38	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
	See PM #22c						

 $Table\ 2\quad McCall\ Hatchery\ \textbf{-}\ Summer\ Chinook\ Compliance\ With\ Performance\ Measures$ 

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status	<b>Basis for Compliance or</b>	Remedial Action Needed for
			Non-Compliance	Compliance

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#39	For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan						
	been developed?						
	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a non-captive broodstock program:						
	Was an unbiased, representative sample	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	collected?						
	Was the recommended number of broodstock	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	collected?						
	For a captive broodstock program:						
	Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors						
	for propagating the next generation of the captive	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	broodstock program?						
	Were full-sib crosses avoided?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	Is the broodstock collection plan understood and						
	being followed by staff?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
		•				Z 170grain, does not appry	

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#40	For a new program, was the donor selection outline					
	followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?					
	Is a donor selection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
	Was the donor selection outline followed in the selecting the broodstock?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
	Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	4				Existing Program; does not apply

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
For existing programs, were the broodstock collection						
procedures followed?						
Is the broodstock collection plan written?		4			Review broodstock collection plan	
Does the broodstock collection plan follow the						
guideline:						
Was an unbiased, representative sample		4			Small numbers but everything collected	
collected?						
Was the recommended number of broodstock						
collected?				4	Not enough fish; use split random crosses	Review IHOT Broodstock collection
						procedures as they relate to IDFG policy
						and practices
Were the broodstock collection procedures in		4			Discussion	
hatchery operation plan understood and						
followed?						
	procedures followed?  Is the broodstock collection plan written?  Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:  Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?  Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?  Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection procedures followed?  Is the broodstock collection plan written?  Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:  Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?  Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?  Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection procedures followed?  Is the broodstock collection plan written?  Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:  Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?  Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?  Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection procedures followed?  Is the broodstock collection plan written?  Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:  Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?  Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?  Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection procedures followed?  Is the broodstock collection plan written?  Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:  Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?  Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?  Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection procedures followed?  Is the broodstock collection plan written?  Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:  Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?  Was the recommended number of broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and  Review broodstock collection plan  Review broodstock collection plan  Small numbers but everything collected  A Not enough fish; use split random crosses

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#42	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female						
	ratios, and fertilization protocols used?						
	Are the spawning protocols written?						
			4			Review spawning protocols	
	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?						
			4			Review of records	
	Was the appropriate number of spawners used?		'			Review of records	
	was the appropriate number of spawners used:				4		
					4	Split random cross because of low	
	Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock					numbers	
	and randomize mating with respect to age class, and						
	other traits?		4			Review of records	
	Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the						
	performance standards?					Discussion	
			4			Discussion	
	Were the fertilization protocols followed?		4				
	were the fertilization protocols followed:						
	If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs		4			Discussion	
	retained, was this done by representative sampling of						
	each male/female cross?						
			4			Discussion	
			4			Discussion	

Discussion

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
					Review IHOT spawning procedures as
					they relate to IDFg policy and practices

 Table 2
 McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#43	Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program						
	in place?						
	Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program		4			Discussion	
	available?						
	Does the plan address the following elements listed in						
	IHOT:						
	Does the program have elements needed to meet					Discussion	
	evaluation goals 1-4?						
	Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed				4	Discussion	Obtain approval of genetics monitoring
	the program (goal 5)?						and evaluation plan
	Will the program collect the data and maintain					Discussion	
	the records needed to evaluate compliance on an		4				
	ongoing basis (goal 5)?						
	Is the program understood and followed by staff?					Discussion	
			4			Discussion	

### **Remedial Actions**

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

#### The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly
	definable at this time

### Remedial Actions at McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates ( $\pm$  40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action.

Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human		
control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery Improve adult returns		4c, 4g,
Review IHOT incubation temperature criteria Install flow alarms at intake and security alarm		4h, 22a4, 5a 6
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or		
procedures Document adult contribution Consider pre-filtration of incubation water to reduce sediment problem. Use second set of screens Perform IHOT QA/QC feed tests Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for IHOT Operations	 	4a 8 10 12 18, 19
Plan Develop smoltification goal and monitoring program Monitor DO during hauling Review IHOT transport water temperature criteria		22a1 23 23
Develop annual training schedule for staff install foot baths in incubation facilities  Review IHOT broodstock collection and spawning protocols as they		25 28 41,42
relate to IDFG policy and practices Obtain approval of genetics monitoring and evaluation plan  Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage		43
or interval Run analysis for missing water chemistry parameters Run analysis for turbidity Run analysis for contaminants	 	5c 5d 5g

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs²
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Install additional early rearing vats on southside of hatchery building		9
4 vats at \$7,500	\$30,000	
1,024 sf @ \$100/sf	\$105,00	
Modify intake to meet current approach criteria	\$200,000	10
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital		
expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Reduce pre-spawning mortality		4b
Increase alkalinity and hardness		5e
Develop pathogen-free water supply for early rearing		28

## **Hatchery Contribution to**

# Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook

Year	Fisheries	Spawning Grounds <sup>1</sup>	Hatchery <sup>1</sup>	Total Combined	Smolt to Adult Survival
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	Contribution (Broodyear)	(percent)
1981	(Broodyear)	(Eroouyoury	(Broodyear)		
1982					

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

1983			
1984			
1985			
1986			
1987			
1988	 850	1543	0.030
1989	 237	701	0.26
1990	 318	651	0.022
1991			
1992			

There is no chinook fisheries in Idaho; no information received on ocean fisheries or from Oregon or Washington

## **Annual Operating Expenditures**

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in a separate table (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook

Hatchery	1993	1994	1995
McCall Hatchery	\$324,121	\$473,662	\$419,467
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$324,121	\$473,662	\$419,467

The total expenditures for the McCall Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery is presented in a separate table (Table 6a).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - McCall Hatchery

Program	1993	1994	1995
Summer Chinook	\$324,121	\$473,662	\$419,467
West Slope Cutthroat	?	?	?
3. Catchable Rainbow Trout	?	?	?
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$324,121	\$473,662	\$419,467

### Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: McCall Hatchery - Summer Chinook

### **Expenditure Occurring at McCall Hatchery**

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$122,155	\$135,900	\$129,095
Operational Costs	\$140,715	\$192,145	\$170,627
Capital Costs	\$1,092	\$86,381	\$83,500
Indirect Costs	\$60,159	\$59,236	\$36,245
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$324,121	\$473,662	\$419,467
Source of Funds			
LSRCP	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total	100%	100%	100%
Program Costs	\$324,121	\$473,662	\$419,467

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

### Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at McCall Hatchery by Program

#### **Summer Chinook**

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$122,155	\$135,900	\$129,095
Operational Costs	\$140,715	\$192,145	\$170,627
Capital Costs	\$1,092	\$86,381	\$83,500
Indirect Costs	\$60,159	\$59,236	\$36,245
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$324,121	\$473,662	\$419,467
Source of Funds			
LSRCP	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total	100%	100%	100%
Program Costs	\$324,121	\$473,662	\$419,467

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.